

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/967,184	09/28/2001	Heikki Heikkila	1427.0120000/MAC/THN	5971
26111 7	590 08/24/2005	EXAMINER		
	SSLER, GOLDSTEIN &	MCINTOSH III, TRAVISS C		
	1100 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	

DATE MAILED: 08/24/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	App	lication No.	Applicant(s)				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summa	09/9	967,184	HEIKKILA ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview duminal	Exa	miner	Art Unit				
	Tra	viss C. McIntosh	1623				
All Participants:	Sta	atus of Applicatio	n:				
(1) Traviss C. McIntosh (examiner).	•	(3)					
(2) <u>Susana Senske (Applicant's representative)</u> .	(	(4)					
Date of Interview:	Tir	me:					
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ N If Yes, provide a brief description:	• •	epresentative)					
Part I.							
Rejection(s) discussed:							
Claims discussed:			`				
Prior art documents discussed: US 4,904,769			X				
Part II.			• ,				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE See Continuation Sheet	GENERAL N	IATURE OF WHA	T WAS DISCUSSED:				
Part III.							
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above							
		CHEEDI	JAMES O. WILSON ISORY PATENT EXAMINER INOLOGY CENTER 1600				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Appli	cant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant called to request US Patent 4,904,769 to be considered as they had submitted this on an IDS filed 3/7/2003, wherein the examiner agreed to add the reference to the attached PTO-892 form as the reference is not seen to effect the patentability of the instantly allowed claims.